

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/089,179	YATSUKA ET AL.
	Examiner Leigh C. Maier	Art Unit 1623

All Participants:

(1) Leigh C. Maier.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Craig McRobbie.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 November 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

10, 12

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Because dandruff and body odor are not diseases, per se, examiner suggested revising claims 10 and 12 as methods of treating dandruff and body odor, respectively, as set forth in the attached Notice of Allowance. Mr. McRobbie approved the amendments.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)